

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/774,558	01/31/2001	Mitchell Anthony DcLong	7997		
27752	7590 03/28/2006		EXAMINER		
	TER & GAMBLE COM	CHANNAVAJJALA, LAKSHMI SARADA			
	UAL PROPERTY DIVISION LL TECHNICAL CENTER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
6110 CENTE	R HILL AVENUE	1615			
CINCINNAT	T, OH 45224				

DATE MAILED: 03/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/774,55	8	DELONG ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
			Lakshmi S.	Channavajjala	1615				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication app	ears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	Idress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE INSIGN of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing dale of this come to reply is specified above, the maximum is the toreply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.13 munication. tatutory period w y will, by statute,	ATE OF TH 36(a). In no ever will apply and will cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION It, however, may a reply be to expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cation to become ABANDON	ON. imely filed m the mailing date of this c IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <i>18 Au</i>	ugust 2005.						
, –	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,4-18,29 and 31-33</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
′=	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2, 4-18, 29 and 31-33</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	r)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or	r election re	quirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examine	г.						
	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
· -	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority (ınde r 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
* 0	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
	see the attached detailed Onice action	on for a list of	or the certifi	lea copies not receiv	rea.				
Attachmen	t(s)								
1) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			4) Interview Summar					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
inton المارد Pape	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o r No(s)/Mail Date <u>8 - 1</u> 5-0	r PTO/SB/08)		6) Other:	. atent replication (if it	J 102;			

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt of IDS and RCE dated 8-18-05 is acknowledged.

Claims 2, 4-18, 29 and 31-33 are pending.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8-18-05 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000.

Art Unit: 1615

Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 2, 4-16, 29 and 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 6,372,730 to deLong et al. (hereafter '730).

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filling date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

'730 discloses 2-decarboxyphosphinico prostaglandin F analogs having a structural formula I, in which the variable w is a OH or a N(R3)(OR4) (col. 7, lines 18-60). The variable Z of '730 meets the description of variables R7-R8 of the instant claims (col. 7-8). Variable Y and X of '730 read on the description of R3 and R4, respectively, of the instant claim (col. 7). The '730 also disclose isomers, enantiomers, esters, amides, imides etc., compounds of formula I and thus anticipates compounds of the instant compositions. '730 disclose oral as well as topical formulations containing the above compounds, in carriers such as those claimed (col. 23, lines 65 through col. 24, lines 45). In particular, the topical compositions are described in col. 26. While instant claims recite "for hair loss", the limitation only refers to future intended use and carries no patentable distinction over the composition of '730. With respect to the claims

Art Unit: 1615

16, '730 oils such as olive, sesame oil etc (col. 24), which read on penetration enhancers. Thus, '730 anticipate instant claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over US 6,372,730 ('730).

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). This rejection might also be overcome by showing that the reference is disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) as prior art in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). See MPEP § 706.02(l)(1) and § 706.02(l)(2).

Instant claims 17 and 18 recite IC50 concentrations of the claimed prostaglandin derivatives and also the percentage ranges of components A-C. '730 fail to specify the

claimed concentrations and the relative percentages. However, the reference teaches the same compounds and also the carriers, solvents or vehicles as claimed in the instant invention. Further, '730 teach that effective concentrations of the active compounds are to employed such that the compositions are safe, to avoid any side effects, depending on the nature of the treatment, condition etc (col.23, lines 34-47). '730 also teach employing compatible solvents for efficient administration of the compounds (col. 23). Accordingly, it would have been obvious for one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to employ the optimum amounts of the prostaglandin derivative of '730 in a treatment compositions, depending on parameters such as the nature of the treatment, severity of the condition, formulation prepared etc., because '730 teaches that the concentration varies with the above parameters. Thus, a skilled artisan would have optimized the amounts of the active compounds, as well as the carriers, solvents and other additives etc., so as to ensure effective and safe treatment of the condition being treated with the claimed compounds.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 14 recites variable R1 through R9 and bond a "as described above". However, it is unclear as to which description that claim 14 is referring to, for the description of the above variables.

Claim 10 recites the limitation " X can be a single bond, a trans double bond, a triple bond, an oxygen atom, a sulfur atom or NR9" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 10 is inconsistent with claim 2, from which it is dependent because claim 2 does not allow for X to be a single bond, a trans double bond or a triple bond.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lakshmi S. Channavajjala whose telephone number is 571-272-0591. The examiner can normally be reached on 9.00 AM -6.30 PM

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on 571-272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/774,558 Page 7

Art Unit: 1615

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lakshmi S Channavajjala

Examiner Art Unit 1615

March 6, 2006